

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/734,023	Applicant(s) VANET ET AL.	
	Examiner KARLHEINZ R. SKOWRONEK	Art Unit 1631	

All Participants:

(1) KARLHEINZ R. SKOWRONEK.

(2) Pete Long.

Date of Interview: 27 October 2009

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: *An amended claim set containing proposed amendment.*

Part I.
 Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
 20

Prior art documents discussed:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 3:00pm

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Pete Long was contacted on 23 October 2009 to obtain authorization for the examiners amendment of claim 20 to delete the term "all" from line 2. Mr. Long indicated that he would discuss the proposed amendment with the applicant. On 27 October 2009, Mr. Long authorized the examiners amendment. Mr. Long provided a copy of a proposed claim set containing the proposed Examiners Amendment discussed with the applicant. The claim set, which is not entered, confirms the amendment of claim 20 set forth in the attached Examiners Amendment.